# Development of New Spectrophotometric Method for Determination of Sulfamethoxazole Based on Diazo Coupling Reaction

Samar Ahmed Darweesh Ibdul Mohsin Abdul hamed Al-Haidari

Sarmad Bahjat Dikran

Alaa Karem Mohammed

Chemistry Department, College of Education for Pure Science-Ibin Al-Haitham, University of Baghdad.

(NJC)

(Received on 30/9/2013) (Acc

(Accepted for publication 27/2/2014)

# Abstract

Sulfamethoxazole (SMX) was treated with sodium nitrite and hydrochloric acid for diazotization reaction followed by coupling with chromotropic acid in alkaline medium to form, an orange colored azo dye compound which exhibits maximum absorption ( $\lambda_{max}$ ) at 513nm and the concentration of(SMX) was determined spectrophotometrically. The optimum reaction conditions and other analytical parameters were evaluated. In addition to classical univariate optimization, modified simplex method (MSM) has been applied in optimization of the variables affecting the color producing reaction.

Beer's law obeyed in the concentration range of  $0.5-20\mu g.mL^{-1}$  with molar absorptivity of  $3.1786 \times 10^4 L.mol^{-1}.cm^{-1}$ . The limit of detection was found to be  $0.043\mu g.mL^{-1}$  and the Sandell's sensitivity value was  $7.9681\mu g.cm^{-2}$ . The proposed method could be successfully applied to the determination of (SMX) in synthetic sample and urine.

**Key words:** Spectrophotometric determination, Sulfamethoxazole, Diazotization reaction, Coupling reaction.

# الخلاصة

عومل عقار السلفاميثوكسازول (SMX) مع نتريت الصوديوم وحامض الهيدروكلوريك لأزونته تبع ذلك اجراء تفاعل ازدواج مع حامض الكروموتروبيك في وسط قلوي لتكوين صبغة الأزو ذات اللون البرتقالي التي تظهر أعظم امتصاص (λ<sub>max</sub>) عند513 نانومتر ومن ثم تم تقدير تركيز السلفاميثوكسازول طيفياً. وقد تم تعيين الظروف الفضلى التي تؤثر على التفاعل والعوامل التحليلية الأخرى.وبالإضافة الى الطريقة الكلاسيكية بنمط المتغير الواحد طبقت طريقة السمبلكس المحورة لتعيين الظروف الفضلى للمتغيرات التي نؤثر على التفاعل اللوني قيد الدراسة. تم تطبيق قانون بير على مدى من التراكيز يتراوح بين (<sup>1</sup>-ug.mL) وكانت قيمة معامل الامتصاص المولي مساوية لـ µg.mL<sup>-1</sup>0.044 ومعامل ساندل يساوي µg.mL<sup>-1</sup>0.043 ومعامل ساندل يساوي 20.00<sup>1</sup> nml ومعامل ساندل يساوي 20.00<sup>1</sup> nml ومعامل ساندل محضرة وكذلك فى الإدرار . محضرة وكذلك فى الإدرار . الكلمات المقتاحية: التقدير الطيفى, سلفاميثوكسازول, تفاعل الأزوتة, تفاعل الأزدواج.

#### Introduction

Chemically sulfamethoxazole (Figure1) is 4-Amino-N-(5-methyl-3isoxazoyl) benzene sulfonamide) antibacterial drug that interferes with folic acid synthesis in susceptible bacteria. Its use has been limited by the development of resistance and it is now used mainly as a mixture with trimethoprim<sup>(1)</sup>.



Figure 1: The chemical structure of sulfamethaxazole.

Sulfamethoxazole and other sulfonamides having similar structures to pamino benzoic acid, are used in the treatment of urinary tract infections, eve infections and as a prophylaxis of rheumatic fever. It acts as competitive inhibitors of the enzyme dihydropteroate synthetase, DHPS in bacteria by blocking the conversion of p-aminobenzoic acid to dihydropteroate, a reduced form of folic acid<sup>(2)</sup>. A survey of literature revealed that several analytical methods such as high performance liquid chromatography <sup>(3-5)</sup>, flow injection<sup>(6,7)</sup>, high performancethin layer chromatography<sup>(8)</sup>,solidphase extraction<sup>(9)</sup>, voltammetry<sup>(10)</sup> and spectrophotometric methods<sup>(11-16)</sup> have

been reported for the determination of sulfamethoxazole. Some of the reported spectrophotometric methods require long heating times for color development<sup>(16)</sup>, laborious<sup>(17-19)</sup>, applicable to high concentrations of the drug  $^{(20,21)}$  or are less sensitive<sup>(22)</sup>.

The present study describes the use of chromotropic acid as a chromogenic reagent in the development of simple, sensitive and a rapid spectrophotometric method for the estimation of SMX with reasonable precision, accuracy. Experimental conditions have been studied and the method optimized using univariate and multivariate simplex method.

# Experimental

# Instruments

The absorption spectra were recorded on a doublebeam shimadzu UV-1800 spectrophotometer, while CECIL 1011 UV-Visible single beam spectrophotometer with 1cm matched quartz cells was used for photometric measurements.

# Materials and reagents

Pharmaceutical grade sulfamethoxazole was received as a powder in pure form (99.99%) as gift sample from the State Company for Drug Industries and Medical Appliances Samara-Iraq (SDI).All chemicals and reagents used were of analytical grade.

# **Reagents solutions**

Sodium nitrite [0.5%(m/v)]: prepared by dissolving 0.5g of NaNO<sub>2</sub> in distilled water and diluted to 100mL in a volumetric flask.

Sulfamic acid [2 % (m/v)]: prepared by dissolving 2g of sulfamic acid in 100mL of distilled water.

Chromotropic acid (CTA) [ 2 % (m/v)] : prepared by dissolving 2g of CTA in 100mL of distilled water.

Sodium hydroxide [2M]: prepared by dissolving 8g of NaOHin100mL of distilled water.

Hydrochloric acid [5N]: 85mL of concentrated HCl was diluted to 200mL with distilled water.

Hydrochloric acid [2N]: prepared by diluting 16.72mL of concentrated reagent to 100mL with distilled water.

# Standard sulfamethoxazole solution $(SMX)100 \mu g.mL^{-1}$

Standard solution of SMX was prepared by dissolving accurately weighted10mg of pure drug in 1.5mL of 5M HCl and further diluted to 100mL with distilled water.

# Preparation of synthetic drug sample

1. 20 mg of the bulk drug was mixed with 5mg of interfering substance mixture (consisting of 0.01 g of each of glucose, lactose, soluble starch, and vanillin).

2. 12.5mg of the resulted mixture was dissolved by the same manner as used for the preparation standard drug to obtain  $100 \mu g.mL^{-1}$ .

# Preparation of drug solution in urine

Solution of drug in urine was prepared by dissolving 10mg of (SMX) in 1.5mL of 5M HCl and complete volume to 100mL urine in volumetric flask to obtain 100µg.mL<sup>-1</sup> stock solution.

### General Standard Procedures Univariate method

standard Aliquots of the solution  $100 \mu g.mL^{-1}$  containing  $5-150 \mu g$ of sulfamethoxazole were transferred into a series of 10mL volumetric flasks. After cooling in an ice bath,1.0mL of 0.05 % (m/v) sodium nitrite solution and 1.0 mL of 0.5MHCl were added to each flask. The solution was shaken thoroughly; 1.5 mL of 0.5% (m/v) sulfamic acid was added. The solutions swirled and the resulting were diazotized product was coupled with CTA by the addition of 1.0mL of 0.7% (m/v) this reagent followed by 2.0mL of 0.1M sodium hydroxide solution and allowed to stand for 10min. The solutions were made up to themark with distilled water. After mixing the solution well, the absorbance of orangecolored chromogenwas measured at 513nm against the reagent blank.

# Simplex method

Aliquots of the standard solution100 ug.mL<sup>-1</sup>containing 5-200µgof sulfamethoxazole were transferred into a series of 10mL volumetric flasks. After cooling in an ice bath, 1.0mL of 0.05 % (m/v) sodium nitrite solution and 1.0mL of 0.5MHCl were added to each flask. The solution was shaken thoroughly; 1.5mL of 0.25% (m/v)sulfamic acid was added. The solutions were swirled and the resulting diazotized product was coupled with CTA by the addition of 1.0mL of 0.4% (m/v) this reagent followed by 2.0mL

of 0.2M sodium hydroxide solution and allowed to stand for 10min. The solutions were made up to the mark with distilled water. After mixing the solution well, the absorbance of orange colored chromogen was measured at 513nm against the reagent blank.

# Results and Discussion Absorption spectrum and reaction scheme

The Primary aromatic amines are specifically and sensitivity determinable by diazotization of amine to corresponding diazonium compound, then coupling with activated aromatic compounds to yield an azo compounds as this reaction <sup>(17)</sup>.

 $\mathbf{Ar} \cdot \mathbf{N}_{2}^{+} + \mathbf{Ar'} \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ar} \cdot \mathbf{N} = \mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{Ar'} + \mathbf{H}^{+}$ 

The investigated method involves the diazotized sulfamethoxazole reaction with chromotropic acid in an alkaline medium to give an orange colored azo dye with a maximum absorption at 513nm (Figure 2). The reaction can be represented in Scheme1.



Figure 2:Absorption spectrum of: (A) 10 µg.mL<sup>-1</sup> SMX-CTA against reagent blank, (B) blank solution against distilled water.



Azo dye

Scheme1: The reaction mechanism for diazotization and reaction between SMX and

CTA.

# Optimization of reaction variables Univariate method

A systematic study of the effects of various parameters on the development of color products were taken by varying the parameters one at a time and controlling all others fixed. These variables include effect of diazotization reaction time. sodium nitrite hydrochloric concentration, acid concentration. sulfamic acid concentration, chromotropic acid concentration, sodium hydroxide

concentration and coupling reaction time.

#### Effect of diazotization reaction time

The optimum diazotization time was determined, at ~ 0 °C, by following the absorbance of the formed azo-dye for the period of (0–20) minutes (Table1). It was found that the an orange colored product with maximum absorbance at 513nm has taken place instantaneous, after which no more increase in absorbance values was obtained.

Time (min.)	Absorption
0	0.117
5	0.105
10	0.102
15	0.100
20	0.098

# Table1: Effect of diazotization reaction time.

#### Effect of sodium nitrite

The effect of the concentration of (NaNO<sub>2</sub>) was studied by measuring the absorbance of the color products at

513nm (Figure3); it was found that 1.0mL of 0.05% m/v solution sodium nitrite was needed for maximum color intensity for azo dyes complex.



Figure3: Effect of sodium nitrite in the determination of 10µg.mL<sup>-1</sup>of SMX.

#### Effect of acidity

The influence of hydrochloric acid concentration on the diazotization reaction was studied over the range 0.025–2.00 M. Maximum and constant absorption intensities were achieved at addition of 1.0mL of 0.5M HCl (Figure 4), after which the absorbance of the reaction product began to decrease.



Figure 4: Effect of acidityon the color development of dye in the determination of 10µg.mL<sup>-1</sup> of SMX.

**Effect of sulfamic acid concentration** To remove excess nitrite, sulfamic acid was added. The optimum sulfamic acid concentration was estimated by adding 1.5mL from various concentration of 0.25-2% m/v of sulfamic acid solution, it was found that 1.5mL of 0.5% m/v solution gave the highest absorbance value as shown in (Figure 5).



Figure 5: Effect of sulfamic acid concentration in the determination of 10µg.mL<sup>-1</sup> of SMX.

#### Effect of reagent concentration

Concentration of chromotropic acid ranged from 0.1–2.0 % m/v of1.0mL solutions were examined to found highest color intensity of the azo dye as shown in Figure 6. The investigation showed that 1.0mL of 0.7% m/v CTA gave maximum and stable color.



Figure 6: Effect of CTA concentration on the color development of dye in the determination of 10µg.mL<sup>-1</sup> of SMX.

#### Effect of alkalinity

It was found that the optimum concentration of sodium hydroxide leading to a maximum intensity of complex color was 2.0mL of 0.1M.

Addition of more than 0.1M of alkali causes a decrease in absorbance; this may be attributed to the decolorization of colored azo dye (Figure 7).



Figure 7: Effect of alkalinity in the determination of 10µg.mL<sup>-1</sup> of SMX.

### Effect of coupling reaction time

The optimum time of coupling reaction (before dilution) was determined by following the color of the developed azo dye at room temperature. The reaction mixture was allowed to stand for different time intervals (Table 2), and it was found that 10min. period was required for full color development and the color last stable for at least 3h.

Time	Absorption
0min.	0.642
5min.	1.048
10min.	1.119
15min.	1.114
20min.	1.113
3h	1.113

Table2: Effect of coupling reaction time.

# Simplex method

Multi simplex program was employed to find out the optimum experimental conditions for determination of (SMX). In this method three interest factors (n=3), namely concentration of sulfamic acid, chromotropic acid, and sodium hydroxide were chosen as independent variables and the absorbance of the formed azo dye at 513nmas response was assessed.

The boundary conditions for the three independent variables, delineated

above, were set (Table 3) together with their step values.

Four (n+1) arbitrary experimental conditions were arbitrary chosen, by selecting the values of these parameters within specified boundaries for each, at which they affected the measured absorption signals of the colored products (experiments number 1-4in Table 4).The measured absorption signals of these four experiments were feed into the multi simplex computer program. The program then suggest a new set of conditions to be carried out and the resulted absorbance is feed again to the program and so on. Figure 8 shows the progress of the simplex, which indicates a gradual improvement in the response function. Only 19 experiments were enough to evaluate the proper conditions at maximum response function, two more experiments were done to ensure the obtained results (Table 4).

The conclude optimum operating conditions for the determination of (SMX)were found to be 0.25% m/v sulfamic acid, 0.4% m/v CTA and 0.2M sodium hydroxide.

Table 5: boundary of simplex for the studied variables.				
Variable	Minimum boundary	Maximum boundary	Step size	
Conc. of sulfamic acid (%) m/v	0.25	2.0	0.25	
Conc. of CTA (%) m/v	0.10	2.0	0.10	
Conc. of NaOH(M)	0.05	2.0	0.05	

# Table 3: Boundary of simplex for the studied variables.

Table 4: Multivariate experiments	(Simplex) for determination of	10μg.mL <sup>-1</sup>
	SMX.	

Exp.	Conc. of	Conc. of	Conc. of sodium	
No.	sulfamic	chromotropic	hydroxide(M)	Abs.
	acid(%) m/v	acid(%) m/v		
1	0.25	0.1	0.30	1.230
2	0.75	0.8	0.70	0.488
3	1.25	1.1	1.50	0.383
4	2.00	0.5	1.80	0.349
5	0.25	0.1	0.05	0.622
6	0.25	0.1	0.10	0.771
7	0.50	0.3	0.60	0.485
8	0.25	0.1	0.20	0.929
9	0.25	0.2	0.25	1.250
10	0.25	0.2	0.40	0.712
11	0.25	0.2	0.35	1.088
12	0.25	0.2	0.30	1.241
13	0.25	0.3	0.45	0.704
14	0.25	0.1	0.20	0.929
15	0.25	0.3	0.20	1.259
16	0.25	0.3	0.15	1.157
17	0.25	0.4	0.15	1.193
18	0.25	0.3	0.25	1.266
19	0.25	0.4	0.20	1.269
20	0.25	0.5	0.20	1.128
21	0.25	0.3	0.30	1.182



Figure 8: Response function progress for simplex.

# Calibration curves and analytical data I- Univariate optimization method

The effect of concentration on the absorbance behavior at optimum conditions of univariate method was investigated using authentic standard. The results are shown in Figure9.

Beer's law was obeyed in the range of (0.5-15.0) µg.mL<sup>-1</sup>of SMX. The regression equation, correlation coefficient, molar absorptivity, Sandell's sensitivity and detection limit are calculated and listed in Table 5.

Table 5: Optical characteristics and statistical data for determination of SMI	X by
univariate method.	

Parameter	Value		
$\lambda_{max}$ (nm)	513		
Color	Orange		
Linearity range ( $\mu$ g.mL <sup>-1</sup> )	0.5 - 15.0		
Regression equation	Y=0.1148[SMX. μg.mL <sup>-1</sup> ]-0.0321		
Calibration sensitivity (mL.µg <sup>-1</sup> )	0.1148		
Correlation coefficient (R)	0.9996		
Correlation of linearity $(R^2)$	0.9992		
Molar absorptivity (L.mol <sup>-1</sup> .cm <sup>-1</sup> )	$2.9076*10^4$		
Sandell's sensitivity ( $\mu$ g.cm <sup>-2</sup> )	8.7109		
Detection limit ( $\mu$ g.mL <sup>-1</sup> )	0.066		



Figure 9: Calibration curve for the determination of SMX by univariate optimal conditions.

## **II-** Simplex optimization method

Optical characteristics and statistical data for the regression equation for the calibration graph constructed under experimental obtained simplex conditions via method are given in Figure 10 and Table 6. The results show better optical characteristics for calibration curve and statistical data were obtained under optimum conditions obtained by multi simplex optimization, in comparison with those obtained via univariate method.

A comparison of performance of the proposed method with already reported spectrophotometric procedures is given in Table 7; the results indicate that the proposed method is sensitive and rapid.



Figure10: Calibration curve for the determination of SMX by simplex optimal conditions.

Parameter	Value
$\lambda_{\max}$ (nm)	513
Color	Orange
Linearity range ( $\mu$ g.mL <sup>-1</sup> )	0.5 - 20.0
Regression equation	Y=0.1254[SMX. μg.mL <sup>-1</sup> ]-0.0263
Calibration sensitivity $(mL.\mu g^{-1})$	0.1254
Correlation coefficient (R)	0.9996
Correlation of linearity $(R^2)$	0.9992
Molar absorptivity (L.mol <sup>-1</sup> .cm <sup>-1</sup> )	$3.1761*10^4$
Sandell's sensitivity ( $\mu$ g.cm <sup>-2</sup> )	7.9745
Detection limit ( $\mu$ g.mL <sup>-1</sup> )	0.043

 Table 6: Optical characteristics and statistical data for determination of SMX by simplex method.

Table7: Comparison of visible spectrophotometric methods for the determination of SMX

Reagent	λ <sub>max</sub> (nm)	Linearity range (µg.mL <sup>-1</sup> )	ε(L.mol <sup>-1</sup> .cm <sup>-1</sup> )	Detection limit (µg.mL <sup>-1</sup> )	Ref.
Phenosaframine	272	1.07–16.70	$4.90 \times 10^4$	0.4280- 0.5730	18
Mo(V)-thiocyanate	470	5-300	$1.00 \times 10^{3}$	1.0200	19
O-Phthalaldehyde	340	0.016-0.144			20
2-naphthol	482	40-130	$1.34 \times 10^{4}$	4.0000	21
3-amino phenol	460	0.1-8.0	$4.32 \times 10^{4}$		23
Iminodibenzyl	580	0.05-4.00	$4.79 \times 10^{4}$	0.0335	24
4-Dimethyl amine cinnamaldehyde	545	0.4–4.8			25
P-Benzoquinone	500	40–55			26
Chromotropic acid	513	0.5-20.0	$3.17 \times 10^{4}$	0.043	Present work

#### **Precision and Accuracy**

The accuracy of the both methods(univariate and simplex) was established by analyzing five replicates of pure drug at three concentration levels, and the precision was examined by determining the relative standard deviation (RSD) on the same drug samples (Table 8).

The low values of RSD% (0.163– 1.795) and the range of error at the levels percent (-1.000–0.428) indicate a high accuracy and precision of the proposed method.

Table 8: Evaluation of accuracy and precision for the determination of SMX by
proposed method.

	Conc. of SMX (µg.mL <sup>-1</sup> )		Relative	RSD%	
	Taken	Found*	Error%	n=(5)	
	2	1.980	-1.000	1.795	
For univariate	7	7.030	0.428	0.313	
	15	15.000	0.026	0.212	
	2	1.990	-0.500	0.918	
For simplex	7	7.020	0.285	0.227	
_	15	14.960	-0.266	0.163	

\*Average of five determinations.

### **Interference Studies**

To assess the analytical potential of the proposed method, the effect of some common excipients; vanillin, glucose, lactose, starch which often accompany dug, were examined by carrying out the determination of  $10\mu$ g.mL<sup>-1</sup> of SMX in the presence of above compounds. The results are presented in Table 9.

Table 9: Percent recovery for 10µg.mL<sup>-1</sup> of sulfamethoxazole in the presence of different concentration of the studied excipients.

Excipients	Conc. µg.mL <sup>-1</sup>	Sulfamethoxazole Conc. Taken 10µg.mL <sup>-1</sup>	
		Conc. Found µg.mL <sup>-1</sup>	% Recovery
Vanillin		9.813	98.130
Glucose	1000	9.926	99.260
Lactose		10.160	101.600
Starch		10.000	100.000

# Application on synthetic sample

Application of the proposed method to the determination of SMX in its synthetic sample was successfully made; the results are listed in Table 10. The excellent recoveries obtained indicate that the absence of any interference from the excipients. The range of recovery values were is (101.17–102.25%) and the values of relative standard deviation percent ranged from 0.2569 to 1.1864%.

#### Table10:Application of the proposed method to the SMX concentration measurements in synthetic sample

Sample	Weight* found mg/25mg	Conc. taken µg.mL <sup>-1</sup>	Conc.* found µg.mL <sup>-1</sup>	Recovery %	RSD* %
20mgSMX/25mg	20.45	2.000	2.045	102.25	1.1864
tablet	20.32	5.000	5.080	101.60	0.4287
	20.23	10.000	10.117	101.17	0.2569

\*Average of three determinations

# Application in spiked urine

The proposed spectrophotometric method was also used to the in vitro determination of SMX in spiked human urine samples. Recovery studies were performed with the sample containing various amounts of SMX. The results of recovery percent and percentage relative standard deviation given in Table 11. The recovery values were in the range (95.0–98.4%) while standard deviation was ranged from (0.1754–0.9655%).

Sample	Conc. taken µg.L <sup>-1</sup>	Conc.* found µg.L <sup>-1</sup>	Recovery %	R.S.D* %
SMX in urine	2.00	1.99	95.0	0.9655
	5.00	4.92	98.4	0.7158
	10.00	9.73	97.3	0.1754

Table11:Application of the proposed method to the SMX concentration measurements
in spiked urine.

\*Average of three determinations.

Application in spiked urine by standard additions method (SAM) The standard addition technique which followed to check the validity of the proposed method has given good recoveries of the drug in presence of urine suggesting non-interference from spiked urine. Hence, this method can be recommended for adoption in routine analysis of SMX in quality control laboratories. Table12 shows the result of recovery % and relative standard deviation % for the standard additions method. Figure11shows the plot of the determination of SMX in urine by standard additions method.

Table12:Application of the proposed method to the SMX concentration measurements in spiked urine by standard additions method.

Sample	Conc. taken µg.mL <sup>-1</sup>	Conc.* found µg.mL <sup>-1</sup>	Recovery %	R.S.D*%
SMX in urine	200.00	198.47	99.235	0.6418

\*Average of three determinations.



Volume of standard solution (mL)

Figure11: Determination of SMX in urine by standard additions method.

#### **Conclusions:**

Diazotization reaction of primary amine group followed by coupling with chromotropic acid in alkaline medium was found to be a simple, sensitive, accurate and economic spectrophotometric method for quantitative determination of (SMX) in pure form

#### References

- 1. G. P., Wormser, *Drugs.;* 1982, 24(6), 459-518.
- **2.** Martindale, *The Extra Pharmacopoeia*, 30<sup>th</sup> *ed.*, Reynolds, J.E.F., ed., Pharmaceutical Press (London, England:1993), 154, 209.
- **3.** J.B. Mengelers , M.B.M. Oorsprong, H.A. Kuiper, M.M.L. Aerts, E.R.VanGogh, A.S.J.P.A.M. Van Miert, *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis.*;1989,7, 1765–1776.
- 4. E.Sayar, S.Sahin, S.Cevheroglu and A. A.Hıncal, *Biomedical and Life SciencesEuropean Journal of Drug Metabolism* and *Pharmacokinetics.*;2010,35(1-2), 41-46.
- **5.** R. S. J. Gapasin, H. J. Nelis, M. Chair and P. Sorgeloos, *J. Appl. Ichthyol.*;1996, **12**, 39-42.
- C.Lopez Erroz, P. Viñas, M.Hernández Córdoba, *Talanta*.; 1994, 41, 2159–2164.
- J. Fan, Y. Chen, S.Feng, C. Ye, and J.Wang, *ANALYTICAL SCIENCES.*; 2003, 19(3), 419-422.
- 8. I. M.Choma, E.M.Grzelak, *Journal* of Chromatography A.; 2011, 1218 , 2684–2691.
- P.k. Chantarateepra, W. Siangproh, S. Motomizu, and O. Chailapakul, *International Journal of Electrochemistry.*; 2012, 1-9.

and synthetic samples. The classical univariate and modified simplex method have been used for optimizing the different variable affecting the completion of the reaction. The proposed method offers good linearity and precision.

- **10.** S.P.Özkorucuklu, Y.Şahin and G.Alsancak, *Sensors.;* 2008, **8**, 8463-8478, .
- **11.** P.Nagaraja, A.k. Shrestha, A.S.kumar, A. K. Gowda, *Acta Pharm.*;2010,60, 217–227.
- M. H. Givianradand M. Mohagheghian, *E-Journal of Chemistry.*;2012,9(2),680-692.
- **13.** M. R. Sohrabi, M.Fathabadi and A. H.Nouri, *Journal of Applied Chemical Researches*; 2010, **3(12)**, 47-52.
- E. Dinça, Y. Kadıoğlub, F. Demirkayab and D. Baleanuc, *J. Iran. Chem. Soc.*; 2011, 8(1), 90-99.
- S. Balyejjusaa, RO. Adomeb, and D. Musokec, *Afr .Health Sci.*; 2002, 2(2),56–62.
- **16.** G. V. Raja, *J. Chem.*;2009, 6, 357-360.
- **17.** K. A.Connors, *Reaction Mechanisms in Organic Analytical Chemistry*, John Wiley & Sons: New York, (1973).
- **18.** S. Amirah, AL. Atas, *J. Saudi pharmaceutical.*;2003,**11**,141-145.
- 19. F. A. Nour EL-Dien, G. G. Mohamed, K. Elmorsy, E. Y. Z. Frag, *Journal of Advanced Research.*; 2010,1(3), 215-220.
- H. M.Faiyaz, M. Aminaddin, K. Mehmood, *J.Pak. Pharm. Sci.*; 2004, 17, 77-84.

- **21.** F. Shamsa, L. Amani, *Iran. J. pharm. Research.*;2006,1,31-36.
- **22.** J. J. Berzas, J. M.Lemus, G. C. Penalov, *J.Anal. Chem.*;1992, **342**, 723-728.
- **23.** P. Nagaraja, H. S. Yathirajan, C. R. Raju, R. A. Vasantha, P. Nagendra, M. S. Memantha *Kumar*,*Farmaco.*; 2003 ,58(12), 1295-1300.
- 24. P. Nagaraja, K. R. Sunitha, R. A. Vasantha,H.S.Yathirajan, *European J. of Pharmaceuticsand*

*Biopharmaceutics.*;2002, 53,(2), 187-192.

- **25.** S. Raghuveer, I. R. K. Raju. D.K. Vatsa. C. M. R. Srivastava, *Indian Drugs.*;1993,**30**,132-135.
- X. G. Zhou, N. Zhang, Y. Q. Zhang, *Fenxi-Huaxue.*;1994, 22, 190-192.