#### Conductivity measurement of barbituric acid (BA) Drug and it's complexes with alkaline-earth metal chloride

A.M.J. Ali and B.A. Akrawi Department of Chemistry, College of Science, University of Mosul,

Mosul, Iraq

## (NJC)

(Recevied on 9/10/2008)

(Accepted for publication 19/7/2009)

#### Abstract

This work includes a conductivity study of Barbituric acid (BA) in water at temperatures (288.16-318.16). The data were analyzed by applying Lee-Wheaton equation for symmetrical electrolyte. It is found that the equivalent conductivity at infinite dilution ( $\Lambda^{\circ}$ ) and the ion association constants K<sub>A</sub> increase with increasing temperature. The distance parameter between ions (R) indicates the formation of solvent separated ion pairs.

The association of (BA) and its complexes with alkaline-earth metals Mg.Ca and Ba as chlorides have been carried out at temperatures (288.16-318.16°K). Analysis of the results indicate that the ionic conductivity  $\lambda^{\circ}$  M<sup>2+</sup> increase with increasing temperature with the formation of ion-pair (MX)<sup>+</sup>. The (R) values for the three complexes found to be (7.0-9.0Ű). The Walden –product ( $\Lambda^{\circ}$  µ) is also determined and discussed.



( R=9-7

#### (Walden-product)

#### Introduction

was determined. The Barbituric acid was discovered in the 4<sup>th</sup> of December, 1863 by the Belgia researchers Adolf Von Baeyer. His discovery came on the day of Saint Barbara's Feast Day. It was prepared from urea and malonic acid<sup>1</sup>.



Previously anti-tumor, antiinfection, immunosystem modulators and anti-depressive properties of a family of barbituric acid derivatives were unknown. Recently new barbituric acid derivatives are prepared and these compounds are effective for tumor cells, especially killing carcinoma, lymphoma and leukemia cells; for killing pathogenic organisms, especially viruses, for stimulating T cell formation and for alleviating symptoms of depression are readily tolerated by the animal being treated  $^2$ .

Pelkonen and Karki in 1973 studied the effect of physiochemical and pharmacokinetic properties of eleven barbiturates on the induction of drug metabolism. The results suggest that the ability of a given compound to induce drug metabolism is related to the nature of chemical groups present in the molecule <sup>3</sup>.

The electrical conductivities of 5-(p-substituted phenylazo) barbituric acid compounds and their complexes were measured. The results illustrate faint semi-conducting behaviour for these systems. The metal ion forms a bridge between the ligands to facilitate the transfer of current carriers with some degree of delocalization in the excited state  $^4$ .

The complexation process of Ni(II) and Fe(III) ions with (BA) in the solution and on the surface of their oxides was examined by methods of conductometry and spectrophotometry. It was determined that nickel (II) and ferric (III) ions form complexes with BA both in solution and on the surface of their oxides 5.

A cyclic polyether Schiff base has been designed and prepared to the investigate strong binding capability to barbituric acid via noncovalent intermolecular interaction such as hydrogen bonding <sup>6</sup>. The 1:1 complex formation reaction between the cyclic polyether Schiff base and a BA has been confirmed in detail by <sup>1</sup>HNMR spectroscopic titration. Kondo  $al.^7$ synthesized 5-anthryl-5et butylbarbituric acid as a probe for spectral determination (UV-Vis and fluorescence) of association constants for a barbiturate receptor via six hydrogen bonds in organic solvents.

Synthesis and characterization of [o-carboxy phenylazo] moiety of barbituric acid, thiobarbituric acid, and their complexes derived from cobalt (II), nickel (II) and copper (II) salts were done. The stereochemistry and the mode of bonding of the complexes were achieved based on elemental analysis, NMR, UV-Vis, IR and ESR. The dissociation constants of the free ligands are evaluated azo bv spectrophotometric methods<sup>8</sup>.

In this work the electrical conductivities of barbituric acid have been measured then with its complexes in deionized water at different temperatures. The applicability of (LW) equation have been tested for the first time to the barbituric acid which is of the type (1:1) electrolyte, and for barbituric acid complexes of the type 9,10 (2:1)electrolytes The thermodynamic parameters,  $\Delta G^{\circ}$ ,  $\Delta H^{\circ}$ and  $\Delta S^{\circ}$  for ion-pair formation were then calculated and discussed. Also the Walden-product

# Experimental Instruments Used for the Analysis of the Complexes: *Magnetic Measurements*:

Magnetic susceptibility was measured through applying (Faraday Method). The instrument used is of the type BRUKER B.M. 6.

#### Electronic Spectral Measurements:

A SHIMADZU UV-Visible spectrophotometer (Computerized UV-1650 PC) is used. All measurements were carried out at room temperature by using quartz cell with 1 cm diameter. The spectrum and data were printed by Epson Stylus-Printer.

## Infrared Spectral Measurements:

The infrared absorption spectra were recorded on two instruments:

1. Perkin-Elmer 590B infrared

spectrophotometer (200-4000  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ).

2. Thermo Nicolte, Fourier-Transform

infrared (FT-IR) spectrophotometer 2002 (500-4000 cm<sup>-1</sup>) with CsI or KBr disc

technique were used

# The conductivity

#### measurements:

Were made using (WTW) conductivity meter (model LBR) with a frequency range of 50Hz-3KHz and sensitivity between  $10^{-1}$  and  $10^{-9}$  Siemens.

Through the conductivity measurements the whole system was completely isolated from the outside atmosphere and the isolation was maintained during the addition of the solute.

#### Syringe

Plastic syringes (1 ml) were used for injecting the stock solution into the conductivity cell. It was found by Akrawi<sup>(11)</sup> that the plastic syringe was more efficient due to losses of weight (by evaporation) which were much smaller than that of the glass syringe, because the plastic syringe has

a much closer fitting plunger.

## Water Thermostat:

A thermostat of type Grant was used for controlling the temperature of the conductance cell, its sensitivity was within  $\pm 0.1$  °C.

Two kinds of balance were used for weighing:

- a. A balance type Sartorius 2004 MP6 electronic semi-micro balance with five digits was used for weighing the syringes, with sensitivity within  $\pm$  0.00001%.
- b. A balance type Gallenkamp with maximum weight of 800 grams was used for weighing

the cell before and after the conductivity measurements.

## **Chemicals and Reagents:**

Barbituric acid & KCl (Fluka analar grade) and the transition metal chlorides were reagent grade (BDH) products and were used without any further purification.

# Preparation of Conductivity water:

Conductivity water was prepared by redistilling distilled water three times with addition of a little amount of potassium permanganate and small pilletes of KOH. The specific conductance of this water was less than  $1.2 \times 10^{-6}$  Siemens cm<sup>-1</sup>.

#### **Preparation of complexes:**

These complexes were prepared by mixing 2 mmole of barbituric acid dissolved in 50 ml of 50% ethanol-water with vigorous stirring and 1 mmole of metal chloride dissolved in ethanol and refluxed for about 3 hrs. The crystals of the complex appeared by evaporating the excess of the solvent and cooling the solution and the crystals then recrystallised 50% in ethanol, suctioned and filtered. The yield was 74-77%.

## **Conductivity Measurements:**

The conductivity measurements in water, methanol and ethanol are highly sensitive to atmospheric carbon dioxide, the whole system is completely isolated from the outside atmosphere and isolation was maintained during the addition of solute.

The design of the conductance cell and the nitrogen line was the same as that previously used in literature  $^{12}$ 

The cell constant for the conductivity cell was measured using the method of Johnes and Bradshow<sup>13</sup>. Solutions of 0.001M and 0.01M KCl

were prepared from KCl. The cell constant was checked regularly and found to be 0.05887cm<sup>-1</sup>±0.001. The electrodes used were of platinum type (WTW). Plastic syringes (1ml) with a fitting plunger were used for injection the stock solution into the conductivity cell. This syringe was found to be more efficient due to the very small losses of weight (by evaporation) due to closer-fitting plunger.

#### Nitrogen Line:

Nitrogen gas line was used which passed through several traps: a trap of lime water for carbon dioxide absorption, a trap of pyrogallol in KOH for oxygen absorption, another two traps one for concentrated sulphuric acid and the other for calcium chloride for water absorption.

## **General Procedure:**

A general method has been used for measuring the conductance of the electrolytes. The conductivity cell was dried, weighed empty and kept at a constant temperature ( $25^\circ \pm 0.01^\circ$ C). purified nitrogen was passed through the cell then 100ml of solvent <sup>14</sup> was added to the cell and the nitrogen gas was passes again for 10-15min. until the conductance of the solvent was constant, then the cell plus contents where weighed acertain amount of the concentrated complex solution was injected into the conductivity cell from a plastic syringe (which was weighed before and after each addition).

Nitrogen gas was passed for several minutes and the conductivity of the solution was measured. This procedure was repeated for about 10-14 times for each run. After all additions where completed, the cell was dried, reweighed to find the weight change which had taken place through the run. This loss was found to be not more than 0.02%.

# Conductivity Measurements for Barbituric Acid:

According to (LW) equation for the specific case of solution containing only a single symmetrical electrolyte:

 $\Lambda_{\text{equiv.}} = f(\Lambda_0, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}_A)$ 

where  $\Lambda_0$  is the equivalent conductance at infinite dilution.  $K_A$  the pair wise ion association constant:

 $M^+_{aq} + X^-_{aq} \longrightarrow (M^+_{n.aq} X^-)^o$ 

where n is the number of solvent molecule and may be zero, and R is the distance parameter between anion and cation.

The input data to the computer program {(LW) equation for (1:1) symmetrical electrolytes}  $^{9}$  are: solvent data (Temp. T, Dielectric constant D, Viscosity  $\eta$ ); K<sub>A</sub>;  $\Lambda_{0}$ ; and R in the form of  $R_{min.}$ ,  $R_{max.}$ ,(the minimum and maximum distance between ions),  $\Delta R$ , together with the solution molarities (mol.L<sup>-1</sup>) and the corresponding equivalent conductance (Siemens equiv.<sup>-1</sup>.cm<sup>2</sup>).

This program calculates those values of  $\Lambda_0$ ,  $K_A$  and R (the characteristic ion-pair distance parameter) which gives the "best fit" of the experimental conductance.

Table (1) shows the conductivity-concentration data for the studied drug in water at different temperatures. The plot of  $\Lambda_{equiv.}$  against the square root of the molar concentration (C<sup>1/2</sup>) is shown in Figure (1).

Table (1): Variation of equivalent conductivity( $\Lambda_{equiv.}$  Siemens cm<sup>2</sup>. equiv.<sup>-1</sup>) and molar concentration(M) of Barbituric acid in water at different temperatures

| motal concentration(ivi) of Darbituric acid in water at uniferent temperatures |                           |                 |                        |                 |                       |                 |                     |                 |                     |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| 288.1  | 88.16 K 298.16 K 305.16 K |                 | 310.16 K               |                 | 318.1                 | 318.16 K        |                     |                 |                     |
| $M \times 10^4$  | $\Lambda_{ m equiv}$ .    | $M \times 10^4$ | $\Lambda_{ m equiv}$ . | $M \times 10^4$ | $\Lambda_{ m equiv.}$ | $M \times 10^4$ | Λ <sub>equiv.</sub> | $M \times 10^4$ | $\Lambda_{equiv}$ . |
| 1.163  | 170.187                   | 1.405           | 178.655                | 1.231           | 210.914               | 1.466           | 228.887             | 1.348           | 244.264             |
| 2.313  | 159.097                   | 2.756           | 165.817                | 2.455           | 187.718               | 2.793           | 192.614             | 2.579           | 212.092             |
| 4.981  | 126.508                   | 5.486           | 133.097                | 5.234           | 142.618               | 5.631           | 147.913             | 5.428           | 164.141             |
| 7.516  | 112.828                   | 8.345           | 113.612                | 7.920           | 122.483               | 8.479           | 128.092             | 8.170           | 142.907             |
| 12.244   | 93.295                    | 13.659          | 92.679                 | 13.199          | 100.261               | 13.798          | 104.313             | 13.492          | 116.211             |
| 17.023   | 75.059                    | 18.832          | 82.541                 | 18.353          | 86.860                | 19.015          | 91.175              | 18.653          | 102.672             |
| 21.657   | 64.161                    | 23.954          | 73.986                 | 23.455          | 79.763                | 24.076          | 84.233              | 23.673          | 91.595              |
| 26.334   | 60.144                    | 28.913          | 68.217                 | 28.444          | 72.809                | 28.988          | 75.442              | 28.378          | 83.471              |
| 30.651   | 58.779                    | 33.769          | 62.244                 | 33.349          | 67.397                | 33.856          | 71.028              | 33.223          | 78.025              |
| 35.078   | 55.389                    | 38.553          | 59.558                 | 38.133          | 62.800                | 38.614          | 66.699              | 37.960          | 74.344              |
| 39.274   | 53.069                    | 43.187          | 55.895                 | 42.817          | 60.056                | 43.284          | 63.991              | 42.611          | 72.163              |
| 42.729   | 50.569                    | 47.783          | 53.475                 | 47.419          | 58.820                | 47.869          | 62.165              | 47.172          | 69.679              |
| 46.346   | 50.434                    | 52.266          | 51.929                 | 51.940          | 55.401                | 52.389          | 58.601              | 51.600          | 65.524              |
| 50.341   | 48.068                    | 56.515          | 50.212                 | 55.646          | 54.673                | 56.786          | 56.551              | 55.989          | 62.596              |
| 54.235   | 46.679                    | 60.806          | 49.186                 | 59.866          | 53.769                | 61.339          | 54.176              | 60.247          | 60.127              |



√[BA]

Figure (1): Plot of equivalent conductivity against square root of concentration for Barbituric acid at different temperatures in water

It is clear from the plot that the compound behave as a weak electrolyte at different temperatures.

Table (2) shows the best fit parameters of analysis of conductance data using (LW) equation. This analysis shows that both of  $(\Lambda_o)$  and  $(K_A)$  increase with the increasing of temperature. The same behavior was obtained by Doe *et al.*<sup>15</sup>

| Temp. (K) | KA   | $\Lambda_{o}$ | R (A°) | $\sigma s(\Lambda)$ |
|-----------|------|---------------|--------|---------------------|
| 288.16    | 2642 | 234.032       | 5.0    | 0.038               |
| 298.16    | 3468 | 251.885       | 5.0    | 0.027               |
| 305.16    | 4738 | 304.059       | 5.0    | 0.020               |
| 310.16    | 6478 | 366.393       | 5.0    | 0.085               |
| 318.16    | 7928 | 369.563       | 5.0    | 0.014               |

 

 Table (2): Best fit parameters of analysis of conductance data for barbituric acid in water at different temperatures

Here the effect of viscosity plays an important role and has an effect on the mobility of ions more than the effect of dielectric constant When solvent-separated ion pairs are formed, ion-association takes place in a number of stages for example:

$$H^{+}(H_{2}O)_{n} + X^{-}(H_{2}O)_{m} - K^{a}_{A} H^{+} \dots H_{2}O \dots X^{-} + (n + m-1)H_{2}O \dots (2)$$

$$H^+ \dots H_2O \dots X^- \longrightarrow K_A^b H^+ \dots X^- + H_2O \dots (3)$$

Hence the magnitude of  $(K_A)$  as determined from equ.  $\Lambda_{equiv.}=f$   $(\Lambda_0, R, K_A)$  will depend upon the stability of both  $H^+$ ... $H_2O$ ... $X^-$  and  $H^+$ ... $X^-$ .

The distance between the ions (R) is almost 5 A° and the small values of  $\sigma$ s(A) give an indication of good agreement between the experimental and the theoretical values.



Figure (2): Plot of ln K<sub>A</sub> against 1/T for Barbituric acid

The standard enthalpy calculated from the above graph and according to this equation: where the results are shown in Table (3):

 $ln K_A = -\Delta H^O / RT + C \dots (4)$ The standard entropy of ionpair formation is a linear combination of two variables  $\Delta S^\circ = (\Delta H^\circ - \Delta G^\circ) / T$ T ......(5) and the standard Gibb's energy calculated from the relationship:  $\Delta G^\circ = -R T lnK_A \dots (6)$  The plot of  $lnK_A$  against 1/T is shown in Figure (2).

| barbluric acta in water at unterent temperatures |                     |                              |                    |  |  |  |
|--|---------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|
| Temp.  | $-\Delta G^{\circ}$ | ΔH°<br>k I mol <sup>-1</sup> | $\Delta S^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |
| N  | KJ.III0I            | KJ.III0I                     | J.K .11101         |  |  |  |
| 288.16   | 18.876              |                              | 167.469            |  |  |  |
| 298.16   | 20.208              |                              | 166.319            |  |  |  |
| 305.16   | 21.472              | 29.382                       | 166.646            |  |  |  |
| 310.16   | 22.630              |                              | 167.693            |  |  |  |
| 318.16   | 23.749              |                              | 166.992            |  |  |  |

 Table (3): Thermodynamic parameters from the ion association constant of

 barbituric acid in water at different temperatures

The value of  $(\Delta H^{\circ})$  is positive and in agreement with the theoretical  $(\Delta H^{\circ})$  values<sup>15</sup>, since the theoretical equation for ( $\Delta H^{\circ}$ ) contains the (1 + dlnD/dlnT) terms. Thus the experimental value of dlnD/dlnT makes the theoretical ( $\Delta H^{\circ}$ ) value positive (dlnD/dlnT < -1).This agreement of the experimental and theoretical value of  $(\Delta H^{\circ})$  may mean that the temperature dependence of D, dlnD/dlnT represents how much the ion-solvation is weakend by ionassociation. The positive value of  $(\Delta S^{\circ})$  has been considered<sup>16</sup> as due to the decreased orientation of solvent molecules when the ion-pair forms. The values of  $(\Delta G^{\circ})$  of the ionassociation are negative and should depend on the kind of the ion<sup>16</sup>. There are several theories regarding ion association<sup>16</sup>, the theories, however, give positive  $\Delta H^{\circ}$  values in many solvents, since the theoretical equation of  $\Delta H^{\circ}$  contains (1 +  $\delta \ln D$  /  $\delta \ln T$ ) term, where D is the solvent dielectric constant. Thus, the experimental value of  $(1 + \delta \ln D / \delta \ln T)$  makes the theoretical  $\Delta H^{\circ}$  value positive (1 +  $\delta \ln D$  /  $\delta \ln T$ ) (-1), contrary to the expectation<sup>16</sup>

The negative value of  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  indicates that the process is spontaneous.

The complexes of barbituric acid with alkaline earth metals ( $Mg^{2+}$ ,  $Ca^{2+}$  and  $Ba^{2+}$  as chlorides):

Have been prepared for the first time and the following measurements were carried out to characterize them: Infrared spectra, Halogen-test, Jobmethod, Electronic spectra.

The IR spectra of both ligand and complexes are shown in figures (3,4) ,and the details of the stretching vibration bands is discussed as follows

## O-H Stretching Vibration (Hbonding):

In the region  $(3478-3474 \text{ cm}^{-1})$  an intense broad band was observed in the infrared spectra for ligand and complexes (Table 4.4 ). This band was assigned to the stretching vibration of hydrogen bonding<sup>(17a)</sup> (intra-H-bonding with ortho group).

#### **N-H Stretching Vibration**:

The spectra of barbituric acid show a single free N-H stretching band in the region<sup>(114)</sup> (3100-3300 cm<sup>-1</sup>) of the ligand spectra (Table 4).

The infrared spectra of the complexes (II, III, IV) showed that the previous v(N-H) band weakened indicating a loss of hydrogen from (N-H) after coordination of ligand with the metal<sup>(17b)</sup>.

# Carbonyl (C=O) Stretching Vibration:

The spectra of barbituric acid show a single strong band at 1718 cm<sup>-1</sup> which may be assigned to the stretching of C=O group. This band weakened in the complexes and new bands appear at  $(1619, 1618, 1703 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ in magnesium complex, calcium complex and barium complex respectively. The shifting of vC=O to lower wavelength numbers in the complexes of alkaline earth metals studied during the present investigations, supports the coordination of C=O group to the metal ion $^{(17c)}$ .

#### **M-N Stretching Vibration**:

The absorption band of v(M-N) for the complexes of Mg(II), Ca(II) and Ba(II) at (469, 497 and 440 cm<sup>-1</sup>) respectively as shown in Table (4.4) indicate the coordination of metal with ligand<sup>(17d)</sup>.

#### **M-O Stretching Vibration:**

The absorption band for v(M-O) appear in the region  $(650-350 \text{ cm}^{-1})^{(17d)}$ . The infrared spectra of the complexes of Mg(II), Ca(II) and Ba(II) show the absorption band in the region (532-628 cm<sup>-1</sup>) which indicate the coordination of metal with ligand<sup>(17d)</sup>.

#### Halogen-test:

Test for chloride with AgNO<sub>3</sub> gives white precipitate which indicate the presence of free chloride (outside the coordination sphere)<sup>(18a,b)</sup>



Figure (3): The IR spectra for ligand (BA) and [Mg(L)<sub>2</sub>]Cl<sub>2</sub>, [Ca(L)<sub>2</sub>]Cl<sub>2</sub> complexes





Figure (4): The IR spectra for ligand (BA) and [Ba(L)<sub>2</sub>]Cl<sub>2</sub> complexe

Infrared spectra (cm<sup>-1</sup>) for barbituric acid (L) and its metal complexes are presented in Table (4):

| No. | Compound        | v(H-bond) | ν(N-H)  | ν(C=O)     | v(M-N) | ν(M-O)   |
|-----|-----------------|-----------|---------|------------|--------|----------|
| Ι   | L               | 3478      | 3188    | 1718       |        |          |
| II  | $[Mg(L)_2]Cl_2$ | 3474      | 3188(w) | 1719, 1619 | 469    | 628      |
| III | $[Ca(L)_2]Cl_2$ | 3475      | 3188(w) | 1717, 1618 | 497    | 628      |
| IV  | $[Ba(L)_2]Cl_2$ | 3477      | 3188(w) | 1717, 1703 | 440    | 606, 632 |
|     |                 |           | ()      |            |        |          |

|   | 1       |                                      |                                       |
|---|---------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| T 11 (A) T C 1 4                                |         | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 <b>1</b> 1 1 1 1 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| I anie 141º Intrared sneetra                    | tem the | narnifiirie aeid (1                  | and its metal complexes               |
| $1$ abit $(\mathbf{\tau})$ . Initial cu succu a |         | vai vitui it atiu t                  |                                       |

(w) = weak

The UV-Visible spectra for barbituric acid as ligand and its complexes (nm ) are presented in Table (5 )

Table (5): The UV-Visible spectra for barbituric acid as ligand and its complexes (nm)

| No. | Compound        | Wavelength (nm) |     |                 |  |
|-----|-----------------|-----------------|-----|-----------------|--|
| Ι   | L               | 215             | 256 |                 |  |
| II  | $[Mg(L)_2]Cl_2$ | 235             | 267 | 426, 481 (c.t.) |  |
| III | $[Ca(L)_2]Cl_2$ | 236             | 307 | 459 (c.t.)      |  |
| IV  | $[Ba(L)_2]Cl_2$ | 245             | 326 | 467 (c.t.)      |  |

c.t. = charge transfer absorption band.

The expected structure of the complexes:



 $M = Mg^{2+}, Ca^{2+}, Ba^{2+}$ Figure (5): The expected structure for the complexes of barbituric acid

Conductivity Measurements of Complexes (II, III, IV) in Water at Different Temperatures:

All the complexes (II, III, IV) have been measured in deionized water

at different temperature (288.16-318.16 K). The results obtained are illustrated in Figures (6-8).



Figure (6): Plot of equivalent conductivity against square root of molar concentration of [Mg(L)<sub>2</sub>]Cl<sub>2</sub> in water at different temperatures



Figure (7): Plot of the equivalent conductivity against square root of molar concentration of [Ca(L)<sub>2</sub>]Cl<sub>2</sub> in water at different temperatures



# Figure(8):Plot of the equivalent conductivity against molar concentration of [Ba(L)<sub>2</sub>]Cl<sub>2</sub> in water at different temperatures

From the above Tables and Figures it is found that  $\Lambda_{equiv}$  decrease with the increasing of the concentration of all the complexes. The equivalent conductivity for each of the complexes is directly proportional with temperatures according to equation (4).

# Interpretation of Conductivity Measurements of Barbituric acid-Alkaline Earth Metals in Water at Different Temperatures:

Computer programme (RM1) for asymmetrical electrolytes is used to analyze the concentration-conductivity measurements for the complexes:  $[Mg(L)_2]Cl_2$ ,  $[Ca(L)_2]Cl_2$  and  $[Ba(L)_2]Cl_2$  in conductivity water. In which the input data are (T, D,  $\eta$ ) where T is the temperature in Kelvin,

D and  $\eta$  are the dielectric constant and viscosity (poise) of the solvent at that temperature<sup>18</sup> zi and  $\lambda i$  for each ionic species;  $K_A^{(1)}$ ,  $K_A^{(2)}$ ,  $\lambda_{MX^+}^o$ ,  $\lambda_{M^{2+}}^o$  and R according to L-W equation for  $MX_2$  electrolyte<sup>9,10</sup>.

Tables (6-8) show the best fit parameters of analysis of conductance data using L-W equation. This analysis show that all the complex cations associated with anions to form a new species  $(MX)^+$ :

$$M^{2+} + X^{-} \xrightarrow{K_A^{(1)}} (MX)^{+}$$

| Temp.<br>(K) | $\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(1)}$ | $K_A^{(2)}$ | $\lambda^o_{MX^+}$ | $\lambda^o_{M^{2+}}$ | R (A°) | σs(Λ) |
|--------------|---------------------------------|-------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------|-------|
| 288.16       | 2800                            | < 1.0       | 0.9                | 191                  | 8.0    | 0.931 |
| 298.16       | 2280                            | < 1.0       | 0.9                | 219                  | 8.0    | 0.970 |
| 305.16       | 1976                            | < 1.0       | 0.9                | 225                  | 8.0    | 0.743 |
| 310.16       | 1755                            | < 1.0       | 0.9                | 225                  | 8.0    | 0.268 |
| 318.16       | 1506                            | < 1.0       | 0.9                | 229                  | 8.5    | 0.241 |

Table (6): Best fit parameters of analysis of conductance data for [Mg(L)<sub>2</sub>]Cl<sub>2</sub> in water at different temperatures

Table (7): Best fit parameters of analysis of conductance data for [Ca(L)<sub>2</sub>]Cl<sub>2</sub> in water at different temperatures

| Temp.<br>(K) | $K_A^{(1)}$ | K <sup>(2)</sup> <sub>A</sub> | $\lambda^o_{MX^+}$ | $\lambda^o_{M^{2+}}$ | R (A°) | σs(Λ) |
|--------------|-------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------|-------|
| 288.16       | 4415        | < 1.0                         | 0.1                | 289                  | 8.5    | 0.726 |
| 298.16       | 4155        | < 1.0                         | 0.1                | 290                  | 7.5    | 0.327 |
| 305.16       | 3980        | < 1.0                         | 0.1                | 310                  | 7.0    | 0.717 |
| 310.16       | 3870        | < 1.0                         | 0.1                | 313                  | 9.0    | 0.462 |
| 318.16       | 3730        | < 1.0                         | 9.0                | 325                  | 7.0    | 0.846 |

Table (8): Best fit parameters of analysis of conductance data for [Ba(L)<sub>2</sub>]Cl<sub>2</sub> in water at different temperatures

| Temp.<br>(K) | K <sub>A</sub> <sup>(1)</sup> | K <sub>A</sub> <sup>(2)</sup> | $\lambda^o_{MX^+}$ | $\lambda^o_{M^{2+}}$ | R (A°) | σs(Λ) |
|--------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------|-------|
| 288.16       | 5650                          | < 1.0                         | 52.0               | 332                  | 8.0    | 0.367 |
| 298.16       | 5455                          | < 1.0                         | 25.0               | 398                  | 8.5    | 0.290 |
| 305.16       | 5000                          | < 1.0                         | 5.0                | 420                  | 8.5    | 0.658 |
| 310.16       | 4800                          | < 1.0                         | 1.0                | 441                  | 7.0    | 0.513 |
| 318.16       | 4550                          | < 1.0                         | 1.0                | 454                  | 7.0    | 0.829 |

The results of analysis, Tables (9-11) show that the values of  $\lambda_{M^{2+}}^{o}$  for  $[Mg(L)_2]Cl_2$ ,  $[Ca(L)_2]Cl_2$  and  $[Ba(L)_2]Cl_2$  increase with the increasing of temperature. Generally the values of  $\lambda_{M^{2+}}^{o}$  for the three complexes follow the sequence  $\lambda_{M^{2+}}^{o}$   $[Ba(L)_2]^{2+} > \lambda_{M^{2+}}^{o}$   $[Ca(L)_2]^{2+} > \lambda_{M^{2+}}^{o}$   $[Mg(L)_2]^{2+}$  since  $Mg^{2+}$  is the smallest cation and has the smallest solvated-ion mobility.

For the three complexes,  $\lambda^o_{MX^+}$  are smaller than  $\lambda^o_{M^{2+}}$  this is attributed to the fact that the solvation of  $MX^+$  ion is more than  $M^{2+}$  ion.

The values of  $K_A^{(1)}$  are decreasing with the increasing of temperature of the three complexes. This may be due to the effect of short range interaction and the hydrogen bonding formed at low temperature<sup>17a,19</sup>.

The cation forms a more stable ion-pair as the cation solvation becomes weaker i.e if the ion-solvation stronger the stability of ion-pair becomes smaller<sup>20</sup>. The values of the distance parameter (R) are found to be (7-9 A°) for all the complexes which indicate the formation of SSIP. Finally the values of  $\sigma_{S}(\Lambda)$  indicate the good applicability of the equation used for the analysis of the barbituric acid complexes. The plot of  $lnK_A$  again 1/T for barbituric acid complexes are shown in Figure (9).



Figure (9): Plot of lnK<sub>A</sub> against 1/T for barbituric acid complexes with (Mg, Ca, Ba) in water

Calculation of the Thermodynamic Parameters ( $\Delta G^{\circ}, \Delta H^{\circ}$  and  $\Delta S^{\circ}$ ) of Barbituric Acid Complexes:

The thermodynamic parameters  $(\Delta G^{\circ}, \Delta H^{\circ} \text{ and } \Delta S^{\circ})$  are calculated as

mentioned before . Tables (9-11) show the calculated values.

| Table (9): Thermodynamic parameters                | from the ion-association constant of |
|--|--------------------------------------|
| [Mg(L) <sub>2</sub> ]Cl <sub>2</sub> in water at d | lifferent temperatures               |

| Temp.<br>K | - ∆G°<br>kJ.mol <sup>-1</sup> | - ∆H°<br>kJ.mol <sup>-1</sup> | ΔS°<br>J.K <sup>-1</sup> .mol <sup>-1</sup> |
|------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| 288.16     | 19.016                        |                               | 11.007                                      |
| 298.16     | 19.167                        |                               | 11.143                                      |
| 305.16     | 19.254                        | 15.844                        | 11.172                                      |
| 0310.16    | 19.263                        |                               | 11.023                                      |
| 318.16     | 19.355                        |                               | 11.035                                      |

| Temp.<br>K | - ΔG°<br>kJ.mol <sup>-1</sup> | - ΔH°<br>kJ.mol <sup>-1</sup> | ΔS°<br>J.K <sup>-1</sup> .mol <sup>-1</sup> |
|------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| 288.16     | 24.215                        |                               | 68.934                                      |
| 298.16     | 23.246                        |                               | 63.372                                      |
| 305.16     | 22.595                        | 4.351                         | 59.785                                      |
| 310.16     | 22.156                        |                               | 57.406                                      |
| 318.16     | 21.502                        |                               | 53.907                                      |

 Table (10): Thermodynamic parameters from the ion-association constant of

 [Ca(L)<sub>2</sub>]Cl<sub>2</sub> in water at different temperatures

| Table (11): Thermodynamic parameters from the ion-association co | onstant of |
|--|------------|
| $[Ba(L)_2]Cl_2$ in water at different temperatures               |            |

| Temp.  | - ΔG°                | - ΔH°                | ΔS°                                  |
|--------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| K      | kJ.mol <sup>-1</sup> | kJ.mol <sup>-1</sup> | J.K <sup>-1</sup> .mol <sup>-1</sup> |
| 288.16 | 24.926               |                      | 66.182                               |
| 298.16 | 24.005               |                      | 60.873                               |
| 305.16 | 23.217               | 5.855                | 56.895                               |
| 310.16 | 22.733               |                      | 54.417                               |
| 318.16 | 22.021               |                      | 50.811                               |

It was found that the values of  $\Delta H^{\circ}$  of ion-association in water for all the complexes are negative since ions are rigid and associated in a columbic interaction in a dielectric continuum media<sup>15</sup>. The value of  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  are negative indicating that the process occurs spontaneously.

The positive values of  $\Delta S^{\circ}$  for the complexes in water have been considered as due to the decrease in the orientation of solvent molecules when the ion-pair form, i.e: is explained by the fact of the salvation of  $M^{2+}$  is weakened by the ion pairing of  $MX^{+16}$ .

The plot of Walden-product against reciprocal dielectric constant is shown in Figure (10). it is clear that  $\Lambda_0\eta$  decreases with the decreasing of

the dielectric constant. This variation in Walden-product reflects the change of the total salvations<sup>21</sup>. The decrease of the Walden-product indicates an increase of the total solvation with decreasing of the dielectric constant<sup>22</sup>. The increase of dielectric constant of the solvent will increase the solvating power of that solvent, this causes the ion to move with only the primary salvation shell in the solvent and the effect of the secondary salvation appears to be very small<sup>23</sup>.

The plot of Walden-product against the reciprocal of temperature is shown in Figure (11) which indicates that Walden-product in this work is temperature dependence.



Figure (10): Plot of Walden-product against 1/D for Barbituric acid complexes in water at different temperatures





#### References

- D.M. Aviado, "Pharmacologic Principles of Medical Practice".
   8<sup>th</sup> Edn., The Williams and Wilkins Company Baltimore, USA, 56, 89 (1972).
- Gulliya, "United States Patent", Feb. 9 (1999).

3. O. Pelkonen and N.T. Karki, Chemico-Biological

*Interactions*, 1973, **7**, Issue 2, 93-99.

 M.S. Masoud and E.A. Khalil, *Reactivity of Solid*, 1986, 2, Issue 3, 269.

- I.B. Dmitrieva, P.P. Prokopovich, K.P. Tikhomolova and V.M. Starov, *Colloids and Surfaces A:* Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects, 2004, 239, Issues 1-3, 19.
- Y. Kim and C. Chung, *Synthetic Metals*, 2001, 117, Issues 1-3, 30-1.
- S. Kondo, K. Utsumi and Y. Yano, *J. Sci. Biol. Chem.*, 1997.
- M.S. Masoud, E.A. Khalil, A.M. Hndawy, A.E. Ali and E.F. Mohamed, *Spectrochimica Acta Part A*: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy, 2004, 60, Issue 12, 2807.
- W.H.Lee and R.J.Wheaton , *J.Chem.Soc.,Faraday Trans.*, 1978, 2(74), 743.
- W.H.Lee and R.J.Wheaton
   *J.Chem.Soc.,Faraday Trans.*,
   1978, 2(74), 1456).
- B.A. Akrawi, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Surrey, Guildford (1981).
- J. Quint and A. Viallard, J. Chim. Phys., 1972, 69, 1110.
- G. Jones and B.C. Bradshow, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1933, 55, 1980.
- L. Onsager and R.M. Fuoss, J. Phys. Chem., 1932, 36, 2689.

- H. Doe, H. Ohe, H. Matoba, A. Ichemura and T. Kitagawa, *Bull. Chem. Sco. Jpn.*, 1990, 63, 2785.
- E. Kubota, Y. Mochizuki and M. Yokoi, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 1988, 61, 3723.
- a .V.Parikh, "Absorption Spectroscopy of Organics Molecules", Translated by A.H. Khuthier, J.M.A. Al-Rawi and M.A. Al-Iraqi, Mosul-University Press, (1985).

b. D.H.Williams and I.Fleming ,"Spectroscopic Methods in Organic Chemistry" 2<sup>nd</sup> Edn. McGraw-Hill Book Company (UK) Limited,PP-35,47 (1973).

c. R.N. Prasad, M. Agrawal and
M. Sharma, *J. Serb. Chem. Soc.*,
2002, 67(4), 229.

d. L.J. Al-Hayaly, N.H. Buttrus and
T.A.K. Al-Allaf, *Asian J. Chem.*,
2002, 14, 1421.

- D.H.Williams and I.Fleming ,"Spectroscopic Methods in Organic Chemistry" 2<sup>nd</sup> Edn. McGraw-Hill Book Company (UK) Limited,PP-35,47 (1973).
- E. Pyun, R.J. Mathise and C.S. Sungo, *Macromolecule*, 1989, 22, 1174.
- 20. V.Parikh, "Absorption Spectroscopy of Organics Molecules", Translated by A.H.

Khuthier, J.M.A. Al-Rawi and M.A. Al-Iraqi, Mosul-University Press, (1985).

- A.M. Qadir, A.I. Abdullah, S.A. Al-Jibor and T.A.K. Al-Allaf, *Asian J. of Chem.*, 2004, 16(3), 1181.
- R.N. Prasad, M. Agrawal and M. Sharma, J. Serb. Chem. Soc., 2002, 67(4), 229.
- L.J. Al-Hayaly, N.H. Buttrus and T.A.K. Al-Allaf, *Asian J. Chem.*, 2002, 14, 1421.
- 24. K.S. Patel and G.A. Kolawole, *J. Inorg. Nucle. Chem.*, 1981, 43, 3107.
- S.E. Al-Mukhtar and I.A. Mustafa, "Inorganic and Coordination Chemistry". Mosul-University Press, 467 (1988).
- 26. N.D.Cheronis and J.B.Entrikin , "Identification of Organic Compounds ", Translated by M.Shendala and N.Al-Jaboor, Mosul-University Press,101(1986).
- 27. D. Nicholls, "Complexes and First-Row Transition Elements". McMillan Education Ltd., 73 (1989).
- P. Job, Ann. Chim., 1928, 9, 113
   C.A. 21.2230 J.

- U.G.K. Raju, B. Sethurn and T.N. Rao, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 1982, 55, 2930.
- H. Doe, K. Wakamiya, and T. Kitagawa, *Bull. Chem. Sco. Jpn.*,1987, 60, 2231.